



**DECARBONISATION**

# **ERRATA SCHEDULE: 9.1**

## **Cory Decarbonisation Project**

PINS Reference: EN010128

**September 2024**



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

1. INTRODUCTION.....2  
    1.1. Purpose of Report.....3

## TABLE

---

Table 1-1: DCO Application Errata Schedule.....4

## QUALITY CONTROL

<b>Document Reference</b>		<b>9.1</b>			
<b>Document Owner</b>		Cory Environmental Holdings Limited			
<b>Revision</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Author</b>	<b>Check</b>	<b>Approver</b>
Revision A	September 2024		SH	DR	JW

## 1. INTRODUCTION

---

### 1.1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1.1. This report presents the errata identified within the Development Consent Order application documents for the Proposed Scheme.
- 1.1.2. Errata, for the purposes of this report, are defined as errors or omissions which are minor and do not change the overall findings of the documents, but which it is considered that it would be helpful to correct them to ensure that there is clarity in the position expressed by the Applicant in the relevant Application document. As such, they do not constitute a request to change the application. Errata associated with minor typographical, or formatting errors have not been included.
- 1.1.3. This report does not cover any matters related to the DCO, Explanatory Memorandum or Certified Documents, as they will be corrected as part of providing updates to those documents during the pre-Examination and Examination process.

**Table 1-1: DCO Application Errata Schedule**

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
<b>Category 5: Reports</b>				
<b>5.2 Planning Statement</b>	APP-040	Executive Summary/ Paragraph 13	Incorrect name: <i>“the International Panel on Climate Change”</i>	Correct name: <i>“Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)”</i>
		Executive Summary/ Paragraph 21	Incorrect carbon dioxide throughput: <i>“approximately 1.6Mt CO<sub>2</sub> per year.”</i>	Correct carbon dioxide throughput: <i>“approximately 1.3Mt CO<sub>2</sub> per year.”</i>
		Page 137/ Paragraph 8.7.2	Incorrect figure reference: <b><i>“Figure 2-2: Environment Constraints Plan – Flood Zones of the ES Volume 2 (Document Reference 6.2)”</i></b>	Correct figure reference: <b><i>“Sheet 2: Flood Zones of the Environmental Features Plans (Document Reference 2.7)”</i></b>
		Page 171/ Paragraph 9.2.8 Bullet 4	Incorrect significant rating: <i>“Moderate Adverse effect on users of PRow (FP1/FP2/FP4)”</i>	Correct significant rating: <i>“Moderate Adverse effect on users of PRow (FP2/FP4)”</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		Page 182/ Paragraph 10.1.10	Incorrect reference: “Paragraph 1.1.4”	Correct text: “Paragraph 4.1.3”
5.3 Policy Accordance Tracker	AAP-041	NPS EN-1/ Environmental and Biodiversity Net Gain/ Part 4.6	Incorrect figure title: “ <b>Figure 7-7: Biodiversity Net Gain Opportunity Area (Volume 2) of the ES (Document Reference 6.2)</b> ”	Correct figure title: “ <b>Figure 7-7: Proposed Habitat Creation and Enhancements (Volume 2) of the ES (Document Reference 6.2)</b> ”
		NPS EN-1/ Environmental and Biodiversity Net Gain/ Part 4.6	Incorrect value: “The assessment concludes that the overall net change in biodiversity in the terrestrial and marine environments both on-site and offsite is 10.03% for Area Habitat Biodiversity Units (AHBU), and 13.47% for Watercourse Biodiversity Units (WBU).”	Correct value: “The assessment concludes that the overall net change in biodiversity both on-site and offsite is 10.01%; for Area Habitat Biodiversity Units (AHBU), and 13.71% for Watercourse Biodiversity Units (WBU).”

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		Biodiversity and Geological Conservation/ Part 5.6	Incorrect value: <i>“The Assessment concludes that the overall net change in biodiversity in the terrestrial and marine environments both on-site and offsite is 10.03% for Area Habitat Biodiversity Units (AHBU), and 13.47% for Watercourse Biodiversity Units (WBU).”</i>	Correct value: <i>“The assessment concludes that the overall net change in biodiversity both on-site and offsite is 10.01%; for Area Habitat Biodiversity Units (AHBU), and 13.71% for Watercourse Biodiversity Units (WBU).”</i>
		Marine Management Organisation (MMO): South- East Inshore Marine Plan/ Topic: Infrastructure Policies of the South East Marine Plan Policy Code: SE-INF-1/ Table 1-2	Incorrect reference: <i>“The site is a Safeguarded Warf (designated in the Bexley Local Plan Policy SP11). Compliance with the Bexley Local Plan is discussed in <b>Section 5</b> of the <b>Planning Statement (Document Reference 5.2).</b>”</i>	Correct reference: <i>“The site is a Safeguarded Warf (designated in the Bexley Local Plan Policy SP11). Compliance with the Bexley Local Plan is discussed in <b>Section 8</b> of the <b>Planning Statement (Document Reference 5.2).</b>”</i>
		Marine Management Organisation (MMO): South- East Inshore	Incorrect policy code reference:	Correct policy code reference:

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		Marine Plan/ Topic: Ports, harbours, and shipping Policy Code: SE-PS-2/ Table 1-2	<i>“In response to Policy SE-PS-2: The Site does not fall in or encroaches upon International Maritime Organization routeing system; therefore, the Applicant considers SE-DEF-1 is not relevant to the Proposed Scheme.”</i>	<i>““In response to Policy SE-PS-2: The Site does not fall in or encroaches upon International Maritime Organization routeing system; therefore, the Applicant considers SE-PS-2 is not relevant to the Proposed Scheme.”</i>
		Marine Management Organisation (MMO): South-East Inshore Marine Plan/ Topic: Climate Change Policy Code: SE-CC-1/ Table 1-2	Incorrect figure reference: <b>“Figure 2-2: Environment Constraints Plan – Flood Zones (Volume 2) of the ES (Document Reference 6.2)”</b>	Correct figure reference: <b>“Sheet 2: Flood Zones of the Environmental Features Plans (Document Reference 2.7)”</b>
		Marine Management Organisation (MMO): South-East Inshore Marine Plan/ Topic: Biodiversity Policy Code: SE-BIO-1/ Table 1-2	Incorrect values: <i>“The assessment concludes that the overall net change in biodiversity in the terrestrial and marine environments both on-site and offsite is 10.03% for Area Habitat Biodiversity Units</i>	Correct values: <i>“The assessment concludes that the overall net change in biodiversity both on-site and offsite is 10.01%; for Area Habitat Biodiversity Units (AHBU), and</i>



Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<p><i>(AHBU), and 13.47% for Watercourse s Units (WBU). The Applicant has taken into account environmental, social, and economic benefits and adverse impacts, at national, regional and local levels.”</i></p>	<p><i>13.71% for Watercourse Biodiversity Units (WBU).”</i></p>
		<p>Marine Management Organisation (MMO): South- East Inshore Marine Plan/ Topic: Biodiversity Policy Code: SE-BIO-2/ Table 1-2</p>	<p>Incorrect values:  <i>“The assessment concludes that the overall net change in biodiversity in the terrestrial and marine environments both on-site and offsite is 10.03% for Area Habitat Biodiversity Units (AHBU), and 13.47% for Watercourse s Units (WBU). The Applicant has taken into account environmental, social, and economic benefits and adverse impacts, at national, regional and local levels.”</i></p>	<p>Correct values:  <i>“The assessment concludes that the overall net change in biodiversity both on-site and offsite is 10.01%; for Area Habitat Biodiversity Units (AHBU), and 13.71% for Watercourse Biodiversity Units (WBU).”</i></p>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		<p>Marine Management Organisation (MMO): South- East Inshore Marine Plan/ Topic: Biodiversity Policy Code: SE-BIO-3/ Table 1-2</p>	<p>Incorrect values:  <i>“The assessment concludes that the overall net change in biodiversity in the terrestrial and marine environments both on-site and offsite is 10.03% for Area Habitat Biodiversity Units (AHBU), and 13.47% for Watercourse s Units (WBU). The Applicant has taken into account environmental, social, and economic benefits and adverse impacts, at national, regional and local levels.”</i></p>	<p>Correct values:  <i>“The assessment concludes that the overall net change in biodiversity both on-site and offsite is 10.01%; for Area Habitat Biodiversity Units (AHBU), and 13.71% for Watercourse Biodiversity Units (WBU).”</i></p>
		<p>Marine Management Organisation (MMO): South-East Inshore Marine Plan/ Topic: Biodiversity Policy Code: SE-INNS-3/ Table 1-2</p>	<p>Incorrect values:  <i>“The assessment concludes that the overall net change in biodiversity in the terrestrial and marine environments both on-site and offsite is 10.03% for Area Habitat Biodiversity Units (AHBU), and 13.47% for</i></p>	<p>Correct values:  <i>“The assessment concludes that the overall net change in biodiversity both on-site and offsite is 10.01%; for Area Habitat Biodiversity Units (AHBU), and 13.71% for Watercourse Biodiversity Units (WBU).”</i></p>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>Watercourse s Units (WBU). The Applicant has taken into account environmental, social, and economic benefits and adverse impacts, at national, regional and local levels.”</i>	
		NPPF – Paragraph 15	Incorrect values: <i>“The assessment concludes that the overall net change in biodiversity in the terrestrial and marine environments both on-site and offsite is 10.03% for Area Habitat Biodiversity Units (AHBU), and 13.47% for Watercourse s Units (WBU).”</i>	Correct values: <i>“10.01%; 13.71%”</i>
5.6 Design Approach Document	AAP-041	Page 11/ Paragraph 9	Incorrect Paragraph reference: <i>“...paragraph 4.5.13...”</i>	Correct Paragraph reference: <i>“...Paragraph 4.6.15...”</i>
		Page 11/ Paragraph 10	Incorrect Paragraph reference: <i>“Paragraph 4.76.1-12...”</i>	Correct Paragraph reference: <i>“Paragraph 4.7.1-12...”</i>
		Page 12/ Paragraph 7	Wording clarification:	Clarified wording:

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>"...comprises an 'Employment Opportunity Area' - London Plan policy [4.12] and at a local level 'Employment growth, innovation and enterprise' LBB Policy SP3..."</i>	<i>"...falls within the Bexley Riverside Opportunity Area (OA), a London Plan designation. Refer to Bexley Local Plan Policy SP3 Employment growth, innovation and enterprise - Section 3.18 and Figure 4..."</i>
		Page 56/ Paragraph 5	Wording clarification: <i>"The Bexley Local Plan notes that..."</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"The Bexley Growth Strategy 2017 notes that..."</i>
		Page 58/ Title and Paragraph 1	Wording clarification: <i>"Bexley Green Infrastructure Study 2022"</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"Bexley Green Infrastructure Study 2020"</i>
	AAP-042	Page 114/ Paragraph 4	Incorrect section reference: <b>"Section 4.6 of..."</b>	Correct section reference: <b>"Section 4.7.1 of..."</b>
		Page 114/ Paragraph 8	Incorrect paragraph reference: <i>"...NPS EN-1 paragraph 4.5.3..."</i>	Correct paragraph reference: <i>"...NPS EN-1 paragraph 4.7.10..."</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
	AAP-043	Page 145/ Paragraph 2 – Page 152 Paragraph 12	Incorrect paragraph reference: “...para 4.6....”	Correct paragraph reference: “...para 4.7....”
		Page 152/ Paragraph 13	Wording clarification: “4.6.13 ( <i>Design principles...</i> )”	Removal of “4.6.13”: “( <i>Design principles...</i> )”
<b>Category 6: Environmental Statement</b>				
6.1 Chapter 2	APP-051	Page 4/ Paragraph 2.1.13	Wording clarification: “A secondary Thames Water Access Road is situated within this component, located between the Borax North and South land parcels, as shown in <b>Figure 1-2: Satellite Imagery of the Site Boundary Plan (Volume 2).</b> ”	Clarified wording: “A secondary access road to the Crossness Sewage Treatment Works site (named in this report as the Thames Water Access Road) is situated within this component, located between the Borax North and South land parcels, as shown in <b>Figure 1-2: Satellite Imagery of the Site Boundary Plan (Volume 2).</b> ”
		Page 4/ Paragraph 2.1.18	Wording clarification:	Clarified wording:

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<p><i>“An electricity substation is located in the southeast of the Gannon land parcel within the Mitigation and Enhancement Area.”</i></p>	<p>Wording should refer to the electricity substation being located within the “<i>Carbon Capture Facility</i>” and not the “<i>Mitigation and Enhancement Area</i>”. Updated wording:  <i>“An electricity substation is located in the southeast of the Gannon land parcel within the Carbon Capture Facility.”</i></p>
		<p>Page 7/ Paragraph 2.1.37</p>	<p>Wording clarification:  <i>“The northern perimeter of Creekside contains an area of Non-Accessible Land, and the Thames Water access road is considered Accessible Land as shown on <b>Figure 14-1: Accessible and Non-Accessible Open Land (Volume 2)</b> and <b>Figure 14-2: Accessible and Non-</b></i></p>	<p>Clarified wording:  Wording should refer to “<i>the western end of the Thames Water Access Road being considered Accessible Open Land.</i>”  <i>“The northern perimeter of Creekside contains an area of Non-Accessible Open Land, and the western end of the Thames Water Access Road being considered Accessible Open</i></p>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<b><i>Accessible Open Land Satellite Imagery (Volume 2).</i></b>	<b><i>Land as shown on Figure 14-1: Accessible and Non-Accessible Open Land (Volume 2) and Figure 14-2: Accessible and Non-Accessible Open Land Satellite Imagery (Volume 2).</i></b>
		Page 8/ Paragraph 2.1.49	Wording clarification: <i>“The land within this component has been identified as part of the mitigation proposals suggested by <b>Chapter 7: Terrestrial Biodiversity (Volume 1)</b>, the BNG Assessment (included in <b>Appendix 7-1: Biodiversity Net Gain Report (Volume 3)</b>) and the design development explained in the <b>Design Approach Document (Document Reference 5.6)</b> to provide habitat mitigation, compensation and enhancement,</i>	Clarified wording: Wording should refer to the impacts to Metropolitan Open Land, access and Crossness LNR. <i>“The land within this component has been identified as part of the mitigation proposals suggested by <b>Chapter 7: Terrestrial Biodiversity (Volume 1)</b>, the BNG Assessment (included in <b>Appendix 7-1: Biodiversity Net Gain Report (Volume 3)</b>) and the design development explained in the <b>Design</b></i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>planting for landscape integration purposes as well as mitigation and enhancement proposals in light of the Proposed Scheme’s impacts to Metropolitan Open Land and access.”</i>	<b>Approach Document (Document Reference 5.6)</b> to provide habitat mitigation, compensation and enhancement, planting for landscape integration purposes as well as mitigation and enhancement proposals in light of the Proposed Scheme’s impacts to Metropolitan Open Lan, access and Crossness LNR.”
		Page 12/Paragraph 2.2.5	Incorrect figure reference: <b>“Figure 2-1: The Key Elements of the Carbon Capture Facility (Volume 2) of the ES (Document Reference 6.2)”</b>	Correct figure reference: <b>“Engineering Plans: Indicative Equipment Layout (Document Reference 2.5)”</b>
		Page 29/ Paragraph 2.2.99	Wording clarification: <i>“To reduce impact on Thames Water’s water network and provide some resilience, it is proposed to include Water Supply Storage Tank(s), which</i>	Clarified wording: <i>“To reduce impact on Thames Water’s water network and provide some resilience, it is proposed to include Water Supply Storage Tank(s) which</i>



Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<p><i>will serve as a feed water tank to the water supply network for the Proposed Scheme. The Water Supply Storage Tank(s) will hold water supply overnight.”</i></p>	<p><i>will be located in the Water Management Area (within Work No. 1E Supporting Plant as shown on the <b>Works Plans (Document Reference 2.3)</b>, which will serve as a feed water tank to the water supply network for the Proposed Scheme. The Water Supply Storage Tank(s) will hold water supply overnight.”</i></p>
		<p>Page 38/ Paragraph 2.4.4</p>	<p>Wording clarification:  <i>“<b>Table 2-4</b> below shows a preliminary construction programme for Option 1, <b>Table 2-5</b> shows a preliminary construction programme for Option 2 (single plant) and Table 2-6 shows a preliminary construction programme for Option 2 (two plants).”</i></p>	<p>Clarified wording:  <b>Table 2-5</b> shows a preliminary construction programme for Option 2 (two plants) and <b>Table 2-6</b> shows a preliminary construction programme for Option 2 (single plant).            Updated wording:  <i>“<b>Table 2-4</b> below shows a preliminary construction programme for Option 1, <b>Table 2-5</b> shows a preliminary</i></p>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
				<i>construction programme for Option 2 (two plants) and <b>Table 2-6</b> shows a preliminary construction programme for Option 2 (single plant)."</i>
6.1 Chapter 4	APP-053	Page 7/ Table 4-1, second paragraph of right hand column.	Wording clarification: <i>"The Proposed Scheme is not anticipated to have significant effects on biodiversity, as stated in <b>Chapter 7: Terrestrial Biodiversity (Volume 1)</b>."</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"The Proposed Scheme is not anticipated to have significant effects on biodiversity (with the exception of changes in air quality during the operational phase which are potentially up to <b>Moderate Adverse (Significant)</b>), as stated in <b>Chapter 7: Terrestrial Biodiversity (Volume 1)</b>."</i>
		Page 10/ Table 4-1, second paragraph of right hand column.	Wording clarification: <i>"As stated in <b>Chapter 3: Consideration of Alternatives (Volume 1)</b>, no viable options to the core Temporary Construction</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"no viable alternative options to the core Temporary Construction Compound, including offsite, have been identified."</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>Compound, including offsite, have been identified.”</i>	
		Page 38/ Paragraph 4.20.12	Wording clarification: <b><i>“The Mitigation Schedule (Document Reference 7.8) documents the additional mitigation and monitoring proposed and indicates how these commitments are secured.”</i></b>	Clarified wording: <b><i>“The Mitigation Schedule (Document Reference 7.8) documenting both embedded and additional mitigation and monitoring proposed and indicated how these commitments are secured.”</i></b>
6.1 Chapter 5	APP-054	Pages 103-104/ Tables 5-41 and 5-42	Over estimates of the amine deposition over the designated sites.	The maximum amine deposition for the Epping Forest (SAC and SSSI) was taken from a designated site significantly closer to the Proposed Scheme than Epping Forest, resulting in an overestimation of annual nitrogen and acid deposition. There has been no change to the modelling methodology or model assumptions.

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		Page 88 / Paragraph 5.8.86	Incorrect units: Units for total nitrosamines and nitramines concentration shown as ug/m <sup>3</sup> , whereas the values are actually ng/m <sup>3</sup> .	Correct wording: <i>“At residential properties, the maximum total nitrosamines and nitramines concentration is 0.019ng/m<sup>3</sup> (9.6% of the EAL)”.</i>
		Page 88 and 89 / Table 5-36	Incorrect units: Units for total nitrosamines and nitramines concentration shown as ug/m <sup>3</sup> , whereas the values are actually ng/m <sup>3</sup> .	Header of Column 3 amended to: <i>“With Proposed Scheme Maximum Mean PC (ug/m<sup>3</sup>, except nitrosamines/nitramines in ng/m<sup>3</sup>)”</i> Header of Column 4 amended to: <i>“Air Quality Standard (ug/m<sup>3</sup>, except nitrosamines/nitramines in ng/m<sup>3</sup>)”</i>
		Page 91 - 93 / Table 5-37	Incorrect units: Units for total nitrosamines and nitramines concentration shown as ug/m <sup>3</sup> , whereas the values are actually ng/m <sup>3</sup> .	Header of Column 4 amended to: <i>“With Proposed Scheme Maximum Mean PC (ug/m<sup>3</sup>, except nitrosamines/nitramines in ng/m<sup>3</sup>)”</i> Header of Column 5 amended to:

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
				<p><i>“Max Adverse Impact (ug/m<sup>3</sup>, except nitrosamines/nitramines in ng/m<sup>3</sup>)”</i></p> <p>Header of Column 6 amended to:  <i>“Air Quality Standard (ug/m<sup>3</sup>, except nitrosamines/nitramines in ng/m<sup>3</sup>)”</i></p>
<p><b>6.3 Appendix 5-2</b></p>	<p>APP-078</p>	<p>Page 14-15 / Table 1-4</p>	<p>Incorrect wording:            Table 1-4 indicates that sensitivity testing for amine reaction rates was not undertaken.</p>	<p>Correct text:            A full suite of sensitivity tests were undertaken, and the results are reported in Section 4 of this appendix, the parameters used for sensitivity testing are as follows:</p>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction																																																						
				Reaction Parameter	Test	MEA	DMA																																																			
				<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Reaction Parameter</th> <th>Test</th> <th>MEA</th> <th>DMA</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="2">k1</td> <td>upper</td> <td>2.26</td> <td>1.63</td> </tr> <tr> <td>lower</td> <td>1.87</td> <td>1.54</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">k2</td> <td>upper</td> <td>3.10E-09</td> <td>3.10E-09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>lower</td> <td>9.50E-08</td> <td>8.90E-08</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">k3</td> <td>upper</td> <td>0.006</td> <td>0.0021</td> </tr> <tr> <td>lower</td> <td>0.0014</td> <td>0.002</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">k4a</td> <td>upper</td> <td>0.0078</td> <td>0.0078</td> </tr> <tr> <td>lower</td> <td>0.00021</td> <td>0.0078</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">k4</td> <td>upper</td> <td>0.0086</td> <td>0.0097</td> </tr> <tr> <td>lower</td> <td>0.00031</td> <td>0.008</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">branching ratio for amine / OH reaction</td> <td>upper</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.42</td> </tr> <tr> <td>lower</td> <td>0.05</td> <td>0.38</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">ratio of j (nitrosamine) / jNO2</td> <td>upper</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>lower</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.53</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Reaction Parameter	Test	MEA	DMA	k1	upper	2.26	1.63	lower	1.87	1.54	k2	upper	3.10E-09	3.10E-09	lower	9.50E-08	8.90E-08	k3	upper	0.006	0.0021	lower	0.0014	0.002	k4a	upper	0.0078	0.0078	lower	0.00021	0.0078	k4	upper	0.0086	0.0097	lower	0.00031	0.008	branching ratio for amine / OH reaction	upper	0.15	0.42	lower	0.05	0.38	ratio of j (nitrosamine) / jNO2	upper	0	0.25	lower	0	0.53	
Reaction Parameter	Test	MEA	DMA																																																							
k1	upper	2.26	1.63																																																							
	lower	1.87	1.54																																																							
k2	upper	3.10E-09	3.10E-09																																																							
	lower	9.50E-08	8.90E-08																																																							
k3	upper	0.006	0.0021																																																							
	lower	0.0014	0.002																																																							
k4a	upper	0.0078	0.0078																																																							
	lower	0.00021	0.0078																																																							
k4	upper	0.0086	0.0097																																																							
	lower	0.00031	0.008																																																							
branching ratio for amine / OH reaction	upper	0.15	0.42																																																							
	lower	0.05	0.38																																																							
ratio of j (nitrosamine) / jNO2	upper	0	0.25																																																							
	lower	0	0.53																																																							
		Page 52 / Paragraph 4.1.1	Incorrect table reference: <i>“The parameters tested were set out in Table 2-4, above, and testing was undertaken using meteorological data for 2020.”</i>	Corrected table reference: <i>“The parameters tested were set out in Table 1-4 above, and testing was undertaken using meteorological data for 2020.”</i>																																																						
6.3 Appendix 5-3	APP-079	Page 6 - 8 / Table 2	Incorrect units: Units for amines shown as ng/m <sup>3</sup> , whereas the values are actually ug/m <sup>3</sup> .	Corrected table wording: The units within Column 3 should be amended to ug/m <sup>3</sup> for Amine 1, Amine 2 and Total Amine.																																																						
		Page 9 - 11 / Table 3	Incorrect units:	Corrected table wording:																																																						

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			Units for amines shown as ng/m <sup>3</sup> , whereas the values are actually ug/m <sup>3</sup> .	The units within Column 3 should be amended to ug/m <sup>3</sup> for Amine 1, Amine 2 and Total Amine.
6.1 Chapter 7	APP-056	Page 39/ Paragraph 7.4.5 (seventh bullet point)	Wording clarification: <i>“Norman Road Field – covering a large area of Crossness LNR accessible to the public between Eastern Way, Norman Road and Borax North and Borax South, and including parcels of land adjacent to Norman Road: Creekside, Munster Joinery and Gannon;”</i>	Clarified wording: <i>“Norman Road Field – covering a small area of Crossness LNR and an area accessible to the public between Eastern Way, Norman Road and Borax South, and including parcels of land adjacent to Norman Road, Creekside, Munster Joinery and Gannon;”</i>
		Page 49/ Paragraph 7.5.6	Incorrect bullet point placement: The first three bullet points (Crossness LNR, Rainham Marshes LNR and Lesnes Abbey Wood LNR (comprising ancient woodland)) are erroneously	Correct bullet point placement: The first three bullet points (Crossness LNR, Rainham Marshes LNR and Lesnes Abbey Wood LNR (comprising ancient woodland)) are Statutory Designated Sites and should sit

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			under the sub-title 'Non Statutory Designated Sites'.	under the sub-title 'Statutory Designated Sites'.
		Page 70/ Table 7-10	Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating: Aquatic macroinvertebrates <i>"Regional/County"</i>	Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating: Aquatic macroinvertebrates <i>"National"</i>
		Page 90/ Paragraph 7.8.35	Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating: <i>"Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Degradation of water quality in ditches and other water bodies through contaminated run-off could result in adverse effects upon macroinvertebrates which are important at the Regional/County level, such as mortality events, and reductions in population size."</i>	Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating: <i>"Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Degradation of water quality in ditches and other water bodies through contaminated run-off could result in adverse effects upon macroinvertebrates which are important at the National level, such as mortality events, and reductions in population size."</i>
		Page 93/ Paragraph 7.8.41	Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating:	Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating:



Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<p><i>“Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Lighting of the construction area has the potential impact aquatic macroinvertebrates through alterations to photoperiod and potentially increasing predation levels. Aquatic macroinvertebrates are important at the Regional/County level.”</i></p>	<p><i>“Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Lighting of the construction area has the potential impact aquatic macroinvertebrates through alterations to photoperiod and potentially increasing predation levels. Aquatic macroinvertebrates are important at the National level.”</i></p>
		<p>Page 94/ Paragraph 7.8.42.</p>	<p>Wintering birds protected/notable species missing. Wintering birds will not be affected by changes in air quality during the construction stage. The text current states:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <i>“Bats;</i></li> <li>● <i>Breeding birds;</i></li> <li>● <i>Reptiles;</i></li> <li>● <i>Terrestrial invertebrates; and</i></li> <li>● <i>Water vole.”</i></li> </ul>	<p>Correct protected/notable species list:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <i>“Bats;</i></li> <li>● <i>Breeding birds;</i></li> <li>● <i>Reptiles;</i></li> <li>● <i>Terrestrial invertebrates;</i></li> <li>● <i>Water vole; and</i></li> <li>● <i>Wintering Birds.”</i></li> </ul>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		Page 95/ Paragraph 7.8.51	<p>Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating and significance of effect:</p> <p><i>“The aquatic macroinvertebrate species present within the Site are important on a Regional/County level due to the presence of several notable and Red Book macroinvertebrate species.”</i></p> <p><i>“Therefore, there is likely to be an indirect, temporary, medium term <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant)</b> effect on the macroinvertebrate community.”</i></p>	<p>Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating and significance of effect:</p> <p><i>“The aquatic macroinvertebrate species present within the Site are important on a National level due to the presence of several notable and Red Book macroinvertebrate species.”</i></p> <p><i>“Therefore, there is likely to be an indirect, temporary, medium term <b>Moderate Adverse (Significant)</b> effect on the macroinvertebrate community.”</i></p>
		Page 98/ Paragraph 7.8.58	<p>Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating:</p> <p><i>“Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Shading of vegetation during the construction phase of the Proposed Scheme (excluding the Mitigation and Enhancement</i></p>	<p>Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating:</p> <p><i>“Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Shading of vegetation during the construction phase of the Proposed Scheme (excluding the Mitigation and Enhancement</i></p>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>Area) may lead to vegetation dieback, which has the potential to degrade habitat used by aquatic macroinvertebrates which are important at the Regional/County level."</i>	<i>Area) may lead to vegetation dieback, which has the potential to degrade habitat used by aquatic macroinvertebrates which are important at the National level."</i>
		Page 107/ Paragraph 7.8.77	Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating: <i>"Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Degradation of water quality in ditches and otherwater bodies through contaminated run-off could result in adverse effects upon macroinvertebrates (which are important at the Regional/County level), such as mortality events, and reductions in population size."</i>	Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating: <i>"Aquatic macroinvertebrates: Degradation of water quality in ditches and otherwater bodies through contaminated run-off could result in adverse effects upon macroinvertebrates (which are important at the National level), such as mortality events, and reductions in population size."</i>
		Page 110/ Paragraph 7.8.82	Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating: <i>"Aquatic Macroinvertebrates: Operational lighting has the</i>	Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating: <i>"Aquatic Macroinvertebrates: Operational lighting has the</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<p><i>potential to impact aquatic macroinvertebrates (which are important at the Regional/County level) through alterations to photoperiod and potentially increasing predation levels.”</i></p>	<p><i>potential to impact aquatic macroinvertebrates (which are important at the National level) through alterations to photoperiod and potentially increasing predation levels.”</i></p>
		<p>Page 115/ Paragraph 7.8.90</p>	<p>Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating and significance of effect:  <i>“The aquatic macroinvertebrate species present within the Site are important on a Regional/County level due to the presence of several notable and Red Book macroinvertebrate species.”</i>  <i>“Therefore, there is likely to be an indirect, permanent, long term <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant)</b> effect on the macroinvertebrate community.”</i></p>	<p>Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating and significance of effect:  <i>“The aquatic macroinvertebrate species present within the Site are important on a National level due to the presence of several notable and Red Book macroinvertebrate species.”</i>  <i>“Therefore, there is likely to be an indirect, permanent, long term <b>Moderate Adverse (Significant)</b> effect on the macroinvertebrate community.”</i></p>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		Page 117/ Paragraph 7.8.97.	Missing wintering birds from the list of remaining ecological features for which the impact is relevant.	Additional bullet point: <i>“<b>Wintering birds:</b> habitats within the Site Boundary would not receive significant shading (other than the exceptions noted above under Above Ground Pipelines) and would not be degraded. Thus, only a highly localised, limited area of wintering bird habitat would be affected by shading. Wintering birds are important at the County level. The magnitude of change will be negligible. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent, long term <b>Negligible (Not Significant)</b> effect on wintering birds.”</i>
		Page 118/ Paragraph 7.8.97	Incorrect Ecological Feature Importance rating and significance of effect:	Correct Ecological Feature Importance rating and significance of effect:

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<p><i>“Aquatic macroinvertebrates are important at the Regional/County level.”</i></p> <p><i>“Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent, long term <b>Minor (Not Significant)</b> effect on aquatic macroinvertebrates.”</i></p>	<p><i>“Aquatic macroinvertebrates are important at the National level.”</i></p> <p><i>“Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent, long term <b>Moderate Adverse (Significant)</b> effect on aquatic macroinvertebrates.”</i></p>
		Page 129/ Table 7-11	<p>Significance of effect with embedded mitigation does not match that provided in-text:  <i>Construction – Lighting – Moderate Adverse (Significant)</i></p>	<p>Correct significance of effect:  <i>“Construction – Lighting – Negligible (Not Significant)”</i></p>
		Page 130/ Table 7-11	<p>Incorrect Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation and Residual Effect:            Changes in Air quality (construction) - Aquatic macroinvertebrates            Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation: <i>“Minor Adverse (Not Significant)”</i></p>	<p>Correct Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation and Residual Effect:            Changes in Air quality (construction) - Aquatic macroinvertebrates            Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation: <i>“Moderate adverse (Significant)”</i></p>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			Residual effect: <i>“Negligible (Not Significant)”</i>	Residual effect: <i>“Minor Adverse (Not Significant)”</i>
		Page 133/ Table 7-11	Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation does not match that provided in-text: <i>“Operation – Lighting – Terrestrial Invertebrates – Negligible (Not Significant)”</i>	Correct significance of effect: <i>“Operation – Lighting – Terrestrial Invertebrates – Minor Adverse (Not Significant)”</i>
		Page 135/ Table 7-11	Incorrect Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation and Residual Effect: Changes in Air quality (operation) - Aquatic macroinvertebrates Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation: <i>“Minor Adverse (Not Significant)”</i> Residual effect: <i>“Negligible (Not Significant)”</i>	Correct Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation and Residual Effect: Changes in Air quality (operation) - Aquatic macroinvertebrates Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation: <i>“Moderate Adverse (Significant)”</i> Residual effect: <i>“Minor Adverse (Not Significant)”</i>
		Page 136/ Table 7-11	Incorrect Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation and Residual Effect:	Correct Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation and Residual Effect:

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			Shading (operation) - Aquatic macroinvertebrates Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation: <i>“Minor (Not Significant)”</i> Residual effect: <i>“Negligible (Not Significant)”</i>	Shading (operation) - Aquatic macroinvertebrates Significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation: <i>“Moderate Adverse (Significant)”</i> Residual effect: <i>“Minor (Not Significant)”</i>
<b>6.1 Chapter 8</b>	APP-057	Page 99/ Paragraph 8.8.55	Magnitude of Impact not stated: <i>“Considering the anticipated rapid dispersal and dilution of mobilised contaminants within this fairly large and dynamic water body, the proposed embedded mitigation and short duration of the works are likely to minimise the potential magnitude of impact.”</i>	Magnitude of Impact stated: <i>“Considering the anticipated rapid dispersal and dilution of mobilised contaminants within this fairly large and dynamic water body, the proposed embedded mitigation and short duration of the works are likely to minimise the potential magnitude of impact, which is likely to be low.”</i>
		Page 103/ Paragraph 8.8.81	Incorrect magnitude of impact:	Correct magnitude of impact:



Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>“Therefore, the impact is considered to be of low magnitude.”</i>	<i>“Therefore, the impact is considered to be of negligible magnitude.”</i>
		Page 122/ Paragraph 8.8.164	Magnitude of impact missing: <i>“Furthermore, as the saltmarsh is located approximately 500m away from the Proposed Jetty, this habitat is likely to be outside of the ZOI for lighting impacts, with the proposed embedded mitigation in place.”</i>	Magnitude of impact: <i>“Furthermore, as the saltmarsh is located approximately 500m away from the Proposed Jetty, this habitat is likely to be outside of the ZOI for lighting impacts, with the proposed embedded mitigation in place a negligible magnitude of impact is anticipated.”</i>
		Page 122/ Paragraph 8.8.165	Magnitude of impact missing: <i>“Due to the impoverished nature of the associated benthic communities that are predominantly infaunal, turbidity of the water reduces light penetration and embedded</i>	Magnitude of impact: <i>“Due to the impoverished nature of the associated benthic communities that are predominantly infaunal, turbidity of the water reduces light penetration and embedded mitigation, effects of light will be minimal and as such a negligible</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>mitigation, effects of light will be minimal."</i>	<i>magnitude of impact is anticipated."</i>
		Page 124/ Paragraph 8.8.174	Magnitude of impact missing: <i>"Mitigation such as reduced vessels speeds will also reduce the potential for impacts."</i>	Magnitude of impact: <i>"Mitigation such as reduced vessels speeds will also reduce the potential for impacts, as such the magnitude of impact is likely to be negligible."</i>
		Page 131/ Table 8-14	Significance of effect with embedded mitigation does not match that provided in-text: <i>"For changes in water quality and release of contaminants, marine habitats and associated intertidal and subtidal communities, the significance of effect with embedded mitigation is <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant)</b>."</i>	Correct significance of effect: <i>"For changes in water quality and release of contaminants, marine habitats and associated intertidal and subtidal communities, the significance of effect with embedded mitigation should be <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant)</b> and <b>Moderate Adverse (Significant)</b>."</i>
<b>6.1 Chapter 9</b>	APP-058	Page 25/ Paragraph 9.6.8	Incorrect land parcel terminology: <i>"The higher areas, where peat is encountered, which might have</i>	Correct land parcel terminology: <i>"The higher areas, where peat is encountered, which might have</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>been suitable for occupation and other activities in the prehistoric, are located in the northwestern (Riverside 2) and central (Borax North, Borax South and Crossness LNR land parcels) parts of the Site."</i>	<i>been suitable for occupation and other activities in the prehistoric, are located in the northwestern (Riverside 2) and central (Borax North, Borax South and Norman Road Field land parcels) parts of the Site."</i>
<b>6.1 Chapter 11</b>	APP-060	Page 83/ Paragraph 11.7.8	Incorrect watercourse naming and unclear wording: <i>"Sections of OW4 and OW3 will be moved to the north in order to accommodate the Flue Gas Supply Ductwork."</i>	Correct watercourse naming and updated wording: <i>"Sections of OW4 and the northern section of OW3 will be moved in order to accommodate the Flue Gas Supply Ductwork."</i>
		Page 95/ Paragraph 11.8.19	Incorrect magnitude of impact: <i>"The magnitude of change of the superficial deposit aquifers, prior to additional mitigation is Minor Adverse and the sensitivity is Medium."</i>	Correct magnitude of impact: <i>"The magnitude of change of the superficial deposit aquifers, prior to additional mitigation is No Change and the sensitivity is Medium."</i>
		Page 95/ Paragraph 11.8.19	Incorrect terminology for significance of effect:	Correct terminology for significance of effect:

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<p><i>“Therefore, the likely effect of the Proposed Scheme on the superficial deposit aquifers during construction has been assessed as temporary, direct, long term, <b>Negligible (Not Significant)</b> effect.”</i></p>	<p><i>“Therefore, the likely effect of the Proposed Scheme on the superficial deposit aquifers during construction has been assessed as temporary, direct, long term, <b>Neutral (Not Significant)</b> effect.”</i></p>
		<p>Page 100/ Paragraph 11.8.49</p>	<p>Incorrect terminology for significance of effect:  <i>“Due to the limited porosity and permeability of the superficial deposits (Alluvium and Taplow Gravel Member classified Medium sensitivity) and depth to the bedrock aquifers (Thanet Sands, Lambeth Group and Chalk Group) it is considered that there would be a temporary, indirect, long term <b>Negligible (Not Significant)</b> effect.”</i></p>	<p>Correct terminology for significance of effect:  <i>“Due to the limited porosity and permeability of the superficial deposits (Alluvium and Taplow Gravel Member classified Medium sensitivity) and depth to the bedrock aquifers (Thanet Sands, Lambeth Group and Chalk Group) it is considered that there would be a temporary, indirect, long term <b>Neutral (Not Significant)</b> effect.”</i></p>
		<p>Page 107/ Table 11-17</p>	<p>Incorrect terminology for significance of effect:</p>	<p>Correct terminology for significance of effect:</p>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the chemical and physical alternation of the superficial deposit aquifers (including groundwater flow barriers) states the effect is <b><i>“Negligible (Not Significant)”</i></b> .	Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the chemical and physical alternation of the superficial deposit aquifers (including groundwater flow barriers) should state the effect is <b><i>“Neutral (Not Significant)”</i></b> .
		Page 110/ Table 11-17	Incorrect terminology for significance of effect: Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the pollution impacts to groundwater quality states the effect is <b><i>“Negligible (Not Significant)”</i></b> .	Correct terminology for significance of effect: Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the pollution impacts to groundwater quality should state the effect is <b><i>“Neutral (Not Significant)”</i></b> .
6.1 Chapter 14	APP-063	Page 53/ Paragraph 14.8.61	Incorrect significance of effect: <i>“Therefore, there is likely to be an overall direct, permanent, long</i>	Incorrect significance of effect: <i>“Therefore, there is likely to be an overall direct, permanent, long</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>term, <b>Negligible (Not Significant)</b> effect on the Accessible Open Land.</i>	<i>term, <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant)</b> effect on the Accessible Open Land.</i>
		Page 68/ Table 14-18	Incorrect significance of effect: For operational effects on terrestrial recreation, Accessible Open Land, the significance of effect with embedded mitigation incorrectly states “ <b>Negligible (Not Significant)</b> ”.	Correct significance of effect: For operational effects on terrestrial recreation, Accessible Open Land, the significance of effect with embedded mitigation should state “ <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant)</b> ”.
6.1 Chapter 19	APP-068	Page 25/ Paragraph 19.5.1	Incorrect terminology: “Upstream, it was not physically possible connect a new jetty past the Crossness Thames Water site as their site utilises all of the waterfront, meaning anything further than this was ruled out.”	Correct terminology: “Upstream, it was not physically possible to connect a new jetty past the Crossness Sewage Treatment Works as their site utilises all of the waterfront, meaning anything further than this was ruled out.”
		Page 30/ Paragraph 19.9.3	Acronym not explained: “PEC”	Explained acronym: “Pilotage Exemption Certificates”

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
6.1 Chapter 21	APP-070	Page 3/ Table 21-1 'The London Plan'	Inappropriate reference: <i>"Policies T6, T6.2, T2 and T5 provide measures to support travel and parking in developments."</i>	Sentence to be removed.
6.1 Chapter 22	APP-071	Page 19/ Table 21-1	Incorrect significance of effect with embedded mitigation: <i>"Construction – Lighting – Moderate Adverse (Significant)"</i>	Correct significance of effect with mitigation: <i>"Construction – Lighting – Negligible (Not Significant)"</i>
		Page 20/ Table 21-1	Incorrect significance of Effect with Embedded Mitigation. <i>"Operation – Lighting – Terrestrial Invertebrates – Negligible (Not Significant)"</i>	Correct significance of effect: <i>"Operation – Lighting – Terrestrial Invertebrates Minor Adverse (Not Significant)"</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		Page 25/ Table 21-1	Incorrect significance for residual effect: Changes in Air Quality – Residual Effect – <b>“Moderate Adverse (Significant)”</b>	Correct significance for residual effect: <b>“Potentially up to Moderate Adverse (Significant)”</b>
		Page 32/ Table 21-1	Additional significance of effect with embedded mitigation: <i>“For changes in water quality and release of contaminants, marine habitats and associated intertidal and subtidal communities, the significance of effect with embedded mitigation is <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant).</b>”</i>	Additional significance of effect with embedded mitigation: <i>“For changes in water quality and release of contaminants, marine habitats and associated intertidal and subtidal communities, the significance of effect with embedded mitigation should be <b>Minor Adverse (Not Significant) and Moderate Adverse (Significant).</b>”</i>
		Page 58/ Table 21-1	Incorrect terminology for significance of effect:	Correct terminology for significance of effect:



Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<p><i>“Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the chemical and physical alternation of the superficial deposit aquifers (including groundwater flow barriers) for both the construction and operational phase states the effect is <b>Negligible (Not Significant).</b>”</i></p>	<p><i>“Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the chemical and physical alternation of the superficial deposit aquifers (including groundwater flow barriers) should state the effect is <b>Neutral (Not Significant).</b>”</i></p>
		Page 61/ Table 21-1	<p>Incorrect terminology for significance of effect:  <i>“Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the pollution impacts to groundwater quality states the effect is <b>Slight Adverse (Not Significant).</b>”</i></p>	<p>Correct terminology for significance of effect:  <i>“Significance of effect with embedded mitigation and residual effect for the pollution impacts to groundwater quality should state the effect is <b>Neutral (Not Significant).</b>”</i></p>
		Page 61/ Table 21-1	Incorrect significance rating:	Correct significance rating:

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			Operation Phase – Changes to groundwater flow paths (including groundwater flow barriers) – <b>“Neutral (Not Significant)”</b>	Operation Phase – Changes to groundwater flow paths (including groundwater flow barriers) – <b>“Slight Adverse (Not Significant)”</b>
		Page 69/Table 21-1	Incorrect significance rating: Construction Phase – Effects on Walkers and Cyclists, FP1, residual effect - <b>“Negligible (Not Significant)”</b>	Correct significance rating: Construction Phase – Effects on Walkers and Cyclists, FP1, residual effect - <b>“Minor Adverse (Not Significant)”</b>
<b>6.2 Environmental Statement Volume 2 Figures</b>	APP-072 and APP-073	Figure 3-3: Alternative Layouts for the Carbon Capture Facility	Incorrect coverage of Option 2 (yellow).	Option 2 should include the Gannon land parcel. This has been corrected and presented alongside this Errata Schedule so it can be visually understood.
		Figure 7-4: Locally Important Statutory Designated Sites and	Incorrect figure title: <b>“Figure 7-4: Locally Important Non-statutory Designated Sites”</b>	Correct figure title: <b>“Figure 7-4: Locally Important Statutory Designated Sites and</b>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		Non-statutory Designated Sites		<b><i>Non-statutory Designated Sites</i></b>
		Figure 7-10: Ecological Survey Areas	Incorrect terminology: “ <i>Eastern Thames Path</i> ” “ <i>Western Thames Path</i> ”	Correct terminology: “ <i>Eastern England Coast Path (FP3/NCN1)</i> ” “ <i>Western England Coast Path (FP3/NCN1)</i> ”
		Figure 11-2: Surface Water Features	OW17 Missing light blue line (Ordinary Watercourse in the legend).	A light blue line should be present along the western edge of Norman Road (Ordinary Watercourse in the legend), see light blue line on <b>Figure 7-20: Watervole Watercourse Locations (Volume 2)</b> .
<b>Appendix 4-2</b>	APP-076	Page 99/ Table 4	Unclear wording and reference to submitted documents: “ <b><i>The Outline CoCP (Document Reference 7.4 and the Operational EMP, which will be prepared prior to the Proposed</i></b>	Updated, clearer wording: “ <b><i>The Outline CoCP (Document Reference 7.4) includes mitigation measures and biosecurity precautions required to prevent the spread of INNS.</i></b>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<p><i>Scheme commencing operation, will include mitigation measures and biosecurity precautions required to prevent the spread of INNS. Further information is provided within <b>Chapter 8: Marine Biodiversity (Volume 1).</b></i></p>	<p><i>The Operational EMP, which will be prepared prior to the Proposed Scheme commencing operation, will also include appropriate measures. Further information is provided within <b>Chapter 8: Marine Biodiversity (Volume 1).</b></i></p>
		Page 169/ Table 11	<p>Wording clarification:  <i>“Erith Marshes SINC has been incorporated within the baseline and assessed within <b>Chapter 14: Population, Health and Land Use (Volume 1).</b> In line with the sensitivity criteria, both receptors have been given a medium sensitivity score, reflecting their classification as recreational facilities that are of regional status and/or medium visitor numbers.</i></p>	<p>Clarified wording:  <i>“The areas of Erith Marshes SINC and Crossness LNR that are Accessible Open Land, have been incorporated within the baseline and assessed within <b>Chapter 14: Population, Health and Land Use (Volume 1).</b> In line with the sensitivity criteria, Accessible Open Land has been given a medium sensitivity score (see <b>Table 14-10 of Chapter 14: Population, Health and Land Use (Volume 1)</b>), reflecting their</i></p>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<p><b>Chapter 14: Population, Health and Land Use (Volume 1)</b> assesses the impacts of the Proposed Scheme on users of the Crossness LNR and SINC.”</p>	<p><i>classification as recreational facilities that are of regional status and/or medium visitor numbers.</i></p> <p><b>Chapter 14: Population, Health and Land Use (Volume 1)</b> assesses the impacts of the Proposed Scheme on users of the Accessible Open Land within Crossness LNR and Erith Marshes SINC.”</p>
<p><b>Appendix 5-2</b></p>	<p>APP-078</p>	<p>Page 74/ Tables 7-5 and 7-6</p>	<p>Over estimates of the amine deposition over the designated sites.</p>	<p>The maximum amine deposition for the Epping Forest (SAC and SSSI) was taken from a designated site significantly closer to the Proposed Scheme than Epping Forest, resulting in an overestimation of annual nitrogen and acid deposition.</p> <p>There has been no change to the modelling methodology or model assumptions.</p>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
Appendix 5-3	APP-079	Pages 21-23/ Tables 8-9 Pages 45-47/ Tables 26 and 27	Over estimates of the amine deposition over the designated sites.	The maximum amine deposition for the Epping Forest (SAC and SSSI) was taken from a designated site significantly closer to the Proposed Scheme than Epping Forest, resulting in an overestimation of annual nitrogen and acid deposition.  There has been no change to the modelling methodology or model assumptions.
Appendix 5-4	APP-080	Page 8/ Paragraph 2.2.15	Erroneous distances reported: <i>“Residential properties including: Clydesdale Way (approximately 110m to the southeast); North Road (approximately 200m to the southeast); Norman Road (approximately 200m to the south); Poppy Close (approximately 200m to the south);</i>	Correct distances: <i>“Residential properties including: Clydesdale Way (approximately 50m to the southeast); North Road (approximately 170m to the southeast); Norman Road (approximately 170m to the south); Poppy Close (approximately 275m to the south);</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>Jenningtree Way (approximately 610m to the east); and Leatherbottle Green (approximately 1km to the southwest)."</i>	<i>Jenningtree Way (approximately 600m to the east); and Leatherbottle Green (approximately 1km to the southwest)."</i>
<b>Appendix 7-8</b>	APP-095	Page 8/ Paragraphs 4.2.2 and 4.2.4	Incorrect terminology: <i>"Riverside Campus"</i>	Correct terminology: <i>"Riverside 1 and Riverside 2"</i>
<b>Appendix 7-9</b>	APP-096	Page 10/ Paragraph 2.5.3	Erroneous text referring to outside of the Site Boundary: <i>"However, outside of the Site Boundary, the southern section of OW6 was flatter with less vegetation and so rafts were deployed within this section instead."</i>	Amended text with text to be deleted: <i>"However, the southern section of OW6 (located within the Site Boundary) was flatter with less vegetation and so rafts were deployed within this section instead."</i>
<b>Appendix 11-1</b>	APP-106	Page 23/ Paragraph 4.2.3	Wording clarification: <i>"Responses to the wider comments raised in the Scoping Opinion can be found in the PEIR<sup>24</sup>."</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"Responses to the wider comments raised in the Scoping Opinion can be found in <b>Appendix 4-2: Scoping Opinion Responses (Volume 3)</b>."</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
<b>Appendix 11-4</b>	APP-109	Page 33/ Paragraph 4.3.1.	Original wording: <i>“it is assumed that the river will reach a morphological equilibrium state in the 5.5 hours between dredging operations, therefore accumulative impacts are not considered”.</i>	Updated wording: <i>“it is assumed that the suspended sediment concentration within the river will fall to background concentrations due to sediment settling in the 5.5 hours between dredging operations, therefore accumulative impacts are not considered”.</i>
<b>6.4 Non-Technical Summary</b>	APP-120	Page 19/ Section 9/ Operation Phase Paragraph	Receptor reference missing: <i>“Therefore, significant adverse effects are anticipated for changes in character and visual amenity from Accessible Open Land in proximity to the Site.”</i>	Receptor reference addition: <i>“Therefore, significant adverse effects are anticipated for changes in character, vegetation cover within the Site and visual amenity from Accessible Open Land, open spaces and the local Public Rights of Way network in proximity to the Site.”</i>



Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		Page 22/ Section 11/ Operation Phase Paragraph	Missing reference to a significant effect: <i>“The outcome of the greenhouse gas assessment for the operational phase is there will be a substantial decrease in greenhouse gas emissions on the Riverside Campus, having a beneficial effect.”</i>	Amended text with significance added: <i>“The outcome of the greenhouse gas assessment for the operational phase is there will be a substantial decrease in greenhouse gas emissions on the Riverside Campus, having a significant beneficial effect.”</i>
		Page 30/ Section 16/ 2 <sup>nd</sup> Paragraph	Wording clarification: <i>“No significant cumulative effects are anticipated during construction or operation. Once operational, the enhancement generated through the Mitigation and Enhancement Area outlined above in Section 3 will positively contribute to reducing cumulative effects and enhancing the recreational experience for users.”</i>	Clarified wording: <i>“Significant effects anticipated on the users of Accessible Open Land during construction and operation, the mitigation measures described in Chapter 9 and Chapter 12 will help to minimise these effects. Once operational, the enhancement generated through the Mitigation and Enhancement Area outlined above in Section 3 will positively contribute to reducing cumulative</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
				<p><i>effects and enhancing the recreational experience for users. There are no other significant effects on sensitive receptors during both the construction and operational phase.”</i></p>
<b>Category 7: Other Submission Documents</b>				
<b>7.2 Outline Drainage Strategy</b>	APP-122	Page 2/ Paragraph 1.2.3	Wording clarification: <i>“The Mitigation and Enhancement Area, shown in solid green in Figure 1-1, will not be built upon as part of the Proposed Scheme. with improvements to be made to it pursuant to the <b>Outline Landscape, Biodiversity,</b></i>	Clarified wording: <i>“The Mitigation and Enhancement Area, shown in solid green in Figure 1-1, will not be built upon as part of the Proposed Scheme but improvements are to be made to it pursuant to the <b>Outline Landscape, Biodiversity,</b></i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>Access and Recreation Delivery Strategy (“LaBARDS”).”</i>	<i>Access and Recreation Delivery Strategy (Outline LaBARDS) (Document Reference 7.9).”</i>
		Page 18/ Paragraph 4.3.4	Wording clarification: <i>“The overall strategy involves diverting the majority of surface water originating from the Carbon Capture Facility to the area east of the Great Breach Dyke in the Mitigation and Enhancement Area, as indicated in <b>Figure 1-1.</b>”</i>	Clarified wording: <i>“The overall strategy involves diverting the majority of surface water originating from the Carbon Capture Facility to the Mitigation and Enhancement Area, as indicated in <b>Figure 1-1.</b>”</i>
		Page 21/ Paragraph 4.5.3	Incorrect appendix reference:  <i>“<b>Appendix E</b>”</i>	Correct appendix reference:  <i>“<b>Appendix D</b>”</i>
		Page 24/ Paragraph 4.6.1.	Wording clarification: <i>“The Carbon Capture Facility drainage will discharge into the</i>	Clarified wording: <i>The Carbon Capture Facility drainage will discharge into the</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>existing watercourse/ditch network and in places to aid connectivity, it is proposed to improve the existing ditches, as set out in (...)</i>	<i>existing watercourse/ditch network. To aid connectivity, it is proposed to improve the existing ditches, as set out in (...)</i>
		Page 27/ Paragraph 4.6.10.	Wording clarification: <i>"(...) This may include alteration of the location of the current outfalls into the Great Breach Dyke may need alteration."</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"(...) The location of the current outfalls into the Great Breach Dyke may need alteration."</i>
		Page 27/ Paragraph 4.7.2.	Wording clarification: <i>"The design of the Proposed Scheme requires that section(s) of the existing drainage channels (OW4b, OW7a, OW11a and OW15a) that cross the Carbon Capture Facility area will need to be infilled and stopped up. The potentially affected drainage channel</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"The design of the Proposed Scheme requires that section(s) of the existing drainage channels (OW4, OW15, OW11(a) and OW18) that cross the Carbon Capture Facility area will need to be infilled and stopped up. The potentially affected drainage channel sections are shown in <b>Figure 4-5</b> below."</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>sections are shown in <b>Figure 4-5</b> below."</i>	
		Page 39/ Paragraph 9.1.4.	Wording clarification: <i>"The drawings appended to this Strategy provide an illustration of one way in such the principles, measures and outcomes set out in this Strategy could be delivered, to demonstrate that this is a workable strategy."</i>	Clarified wording: <i>"The drawings appended to this Strategy provide an illustration of one way in which the principles, measures and outcomes set out in this Strategy could be delivered, to demonstrate that this is a workable strategy."</i>
<b>7.7 Framework Construction Traffic Management Plan</b>	APP-127	Page 1/ Paragraph 1.1.1	Incorrect figure reference: <b>"Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Location Plan"</b>	Correct figure reference: <b>"Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Plan (Volume 2)"</b>
<b>7.8 Mitigation Schedule</b>	APP-128	Page 15/ Table 3	Incorrect figure reference: <b>"Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Location Plan"</b>	Correct figure reference: <b>"Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Plan (Volume 2)"</b>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		Page 40/ Table 6 ID 6.3	Incorrect description: <i>“Change in character and visual amenity from open spaces”</i>	Correct description: <i>“Change in character and visual amenity from Accessible Open Land”</i>
		Page 40/ Table 6 ID 6.4	Incorrect description: <i>“Change in visual amenity for users of the England Coast Path (FP3/NCN1)”</i>	Correct description: <i>“Change in character and visual amenity from Study Area open spaces”</i>
		Page 43/ Table 6 ID 6.16	Incorrect Description: <i>“Accessible Open Spaces”</i>	Correct Description: <i>“Accessible Open Land”</i>
		Page 43/ Table 6 ID 6.16	Incorrect reference: <i>“See ID 6.7”</i>	Correct reference: <i>“See ID 6.13”</i>
		Page 48/ Table 6 ID 7.1	Incorrect terminology: <i>“methodology, to be agreed with the LLFA/Environment Agency, to shut down the Great Breach and/or Green Lake Pumping Stations; and”</i>	Correct terminology: <i>“methodology, to be agreed with the LLFA/Environment Agency, to shut down the Great Breach and/or Green Level Pumping Stations; and”</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		Page 51/ Table 7 ID 7.1	Incorrect watercourse naming and unclear wording: <i>“Sections of OW4 and OW3 will be moved to the north in order to accommodate the Flue Gas Supply Ductwork.”</i>	Correct watercourse naming and updated wording: <i>“Sections of OW4 and the northern section of OW3 will be moved in order to accommodate the Flue Gas Supply Ductwork.”</i>
		Page 54/ Table 5 ID 7.2	Incorrect residual effect: <b><i>“Negligible (Not Significant)”</i></b>	Correct residual effect: <b><i>“Neutral (Not Significant)”</i></b>
		Page 56/ Table 7 ID 7.10	Incorrect residual effect: <b><i>“Slight Adverse (Not Significant)”</i></b>	Correct residual effect: <b><i>“Neutral (Not Significant)”</i></b>
		Page 63/ Table 7 ID 7.11	Incorrect ID reference: <i>“See ID 7.1”</i>	Correct ID reference: <i>“See ID 7.9”</i>
		Page 63/ Table 7 ID 7.11	Incorrect residual effect: <b><i>“Neutral (Not Significant)”</i></b>	Correct residual effect: <b><i>“Slight Adverse (Not Significant)”</i></b>
		Page 64/ Table 7	Missing receptor row in table.	Row to be added: ID “7.14”

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
				<p>Sensitive Receptor: <i>“Change in local flood risk (from all sources of flooding)”</i></p> <p>Description of the Impact: <i>“NPPF less vulnerable land            NPPF more vulnerable land            NPPF essential infrastructure            NPPF water compatible land            Secondary A aquifer (Taplow Gravel Member)”</i></p> <p><i>Specific Mitigation Measures/ Proposed Monitoring/ How the Mitigation/ Monitoring is secured: “See ID 7.1”</i></p> <p>Residual Effect:  <i>“Slight Adverse (Not Significant)”</i></p>
		Page 64/ Table 7	Incorrect ID number:	Correct ID number:



Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			"7.14"	"7.13"
		Page 68/ Table 8 ID 8.3	Incorrect residual effect: <b>"Minor (Not Significant)"</b>	Correct residual effect: <b>"Negligible to Minor (Not Significant)"</b>
		Page 69/ Table 8 ID 8.4	Incorrect residual effect: <b>"Minor (Not Significant)"</b>	Correct residual effect: <b>"Negligible to Moderate (Not Significant)"</b>
		Page 71/ Table 8 ID 8.5	Incorrect residual effect: <b>"Minor (Not Significant)"</b>	Correct residual effect: <b>"Negligible to Minor (Not Significant)"</b>
		Page 72/ Table 8 ID 8.8	Incorrect residual effect: <b>"Minor (Not Significant)"</b>	Correct residual effect: <b>"Minor (Not Significant) to Moderate (Significant)"</b>
		Page 81/ Table 10 ID 10.1	Incorrect acronym: <i>"Development of the full LBRSDP, in substantial accordance with the outline, is secured through a requirement."</i>	Correct acronym: <i>"Development of the full LaBARDS, in substantial accordance with the outline, is secured through a requirement."</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		Page 82/ Table 10 ID 10.19	Incorrect residual effect: <i>ID 10.19 “Negligible (Not Significant)”</i>	Correct residual effect: <i>ID 10.19 “Minor Adverse (Not Significant)”</i>
		Page 82/ Table 10 ID 10.20	Incorrect residual effect: <i>ID 10.20 “Minor Adverse (Not Significant)”</i>	Correct residual effect: <i>ID 10.20 “Moderate Adverse (Significant)”</i>
		Page 82/ Table 10 ID 10.23	Incorrect residual effect: <i>ID 10.23 “Negligible (Not Significant)”</i>	Correct residual effect: <i>ID 10.23 “Minor Adverse (Not Significant)”</i>
		Page 84/ Table 10 ID 10.43	Incorrect residual effect: <i>“Minor Beneficial Adverse effect (Not Significant)”</i>	Correct residual effect: <i>“Minor Adverse (Not Significant)”</i>
		Page 103/ Table 15 ID 15.1	Unnecessary text: <i>“The Design Principles and Design Code (Document Reference 5.7) are commitments which will govern the design of</i>	Text to be removed.

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>the Proposed Scheme during the detailed design stage. <b>The Design Principles and Design Code (Document Reference 5.7)</b> are considered to be embedded mitigation for the purposes of the assessment presented in this chapter.”</i>	
		Page 110/ Table 16 ID 16.4	Incorrect appendix reference: <b>“Appendix 19-2: Preliminary Navigational Risk Assessment (Volume 3) of the Environmental Statement (Document Reference 6.3).”</b>	Incorrect appendix reference: <b>“Appendix 19-1: Preliminary Navigational Risk Assessment (Volume 3) of the ES (Document Reference 6.3).”</b>
<b>7.9 Outline LaBARDS</b>	APP-129	Contents Page	Appendix page numbering error. Appendix 1 – Page 2 Appendix 2 – Page 1	Correct page numbering: Appendix 1 – Page 68. Appendix 2 – Page 78.
		Page 3/ Paragraph 1.1.5	Inconsistency with contents page and document structure.	Update contents page to align with document structure.

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		Page 5/ Paragraph 2.1.2	Incorrect figure reference: <i>“Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Location Plan (Volume 2)”</i>	Correct figure reference: <i>“Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Plan (Volume 2)”</i>
		Page 7/ Paragraph 3.2.1.	Incorrect section reference: <i>(...known as the ‘Mitigation and Enhancement Area’ and ‘CCF Area’ - see section 4),...</i>	Correct section reference: <i>(...known as the ‘Mitigation and Enhancement Area’ and ‘CCF Area’ – see section 2)...</i>
		Page 65	Missing page number	Correct Page number 65 of 77
		Page 10/ Paragraph 5.2.2	Incorrect section reference: <i>“outlined in <b>section 9</b>”</i>	Correct section reference: <i>“outlined in <b>section 10</b>”</i>
		Page 15/ Paragraph 6.1.2	Incorrect section reference: <i>“...in <b>section 9</b> and the BNG strategy”</i>	Correct section reference: <i>“in <b>section 10</b> and BNG strategy in section 11.”</i>
		Page 15/ Paragraph 6.2.1	Incorrect section reference: <i>“... in <b>section 9</b>. Plan area and field references are used to support the description of the proposals in section 9.”</i>	Correct section reference: <i>“...in <b>section 10</b>”</i>

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		Page 15/ Paragraph 6.2.1	Incorrect section reference: “...scheduled in <b>section 12</b> ”	Correct section reference: “...scheduled in <b>section 13</b> ”
		Page 17/ Paragraph 6.3.1	Incorrect section reference: “...and relevant details are provided in <b>section 10...</b> ”	Correct section reference: “...and relevant details are provided in <b>section 11...</b> ”
		Page 17/ Paragraph 6.4.1	Incorrect figure number: “ <b>Figure 19</b> ”	Correct figure number: “ <b>Figure 9</b> ”
		Page 25/ Subheading and Paragraph 6.4.1	Incorrect document reference: “ <i>Bexley Green Infrastructure Study 2022</i> ”	Correct document reference: “ <i>Bexley Green Infrastructure Study 2020</i> ”
		Page 28/ Paragraph 7.4.4	Incorrect report reference: “... <b>Terrestrial Site Assessment Report (TSAR) (Document Reference 7.5)</b> ...”	Correct report reference: “... <b>Terrestrial Site Alternatives Report (TSAR) (Document Reference 7.5)</b> ...”
		Page 28/ Paragraph 7.4.5	Incorrect figure number: “ <b>Figure 9</b> ”	Correct figure number: “ <b>Figure 8</b> ”
		Page 29/ Paragraph 8.2.3	Incorrect section reference: “...summarised in <b>section 6..</b> ”	Correct section reference: “...summarised in <b>section 7..</b> ”

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
		Page 31/ Paragraph 8.3.3	Incorrect section reference: “...which are detailed in <b>section 9 and 12...</b> ”	Correct section reference: “...which are detailed in <b>section 10 and 12...</b> ”
		Page 41/ Paragraph 10.1.11	Incorrect section reference: “...addressed in <b>section 12...</b> ”	Correct section reference: “...addressed in <b>section 13...</b> ”
		Page 42/ Paragraph 10.1.16	Incorrect section reference: “... <b>section 10</b> below...”	Correct section reference: “... <b>section 11</b> below...”
<b>7.10 Outline Site Waste Management Plan</b>	APP-130	Page 1/ Paragraph 1.1.1	Incorrect figure name: “ <b>Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Location Plan</b> ”	Correct figure name: “ <b>Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Plan (Volume 2)</b> ”
<b>7.11 Outline Emergency Preparedness and Response Plan</b>	APP-131	Page 1/ Paragraph 1.1.1	Incorrect figure title: “ <b>Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Location Plan</b> ”	Correct figure title: “ <b>Figure 1-1: Site Boundary Plan (Volume 2)</b> ”
		Page 6/ Paragraph 2.5.5	Incorrect chapter reference: “Detailed descriptions of these measures are provided in Chapter 4, addressing fire,	Correct chapter reference: “Detailed descriptions of these measures are provided in Chapter 5, addressing fire,

Document Reference	Planning Inspectorate Reference	Page / Paragraph / Table / Plan / Figure Reference	Error	Correction
			<i>explosion, and hazardous gas scenarios."</i>	<i>explosion, and hazardous gas scenarios."</i>



## DECARBONISATION

10 Dominion Street  
Floor 5  
Moorgate, London  
EC2M 2EF  
Contact Tel: 020 7417 5200  
Email: [enquiries@corygroup.co.uk](mailto:enquiries@corygroup.co.uk)  
**[corygroup.co.uk](http://corygroup.co.uk)**